

UNCLASSIFIED

UNLIMITED  
DISTRIBUTION

2

DRES

AD-A201 053

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM

NO. 1257

SNAKE VENOM COMPONENTS AND  
THEIR CROSS REACTIVITY:  
A SHORT REVIEW (U)

by

Bradley J. Berger\* and Abdul R. Bhatti

DRDHP-11

October 1988

DTIC  
ELECTE  
NOV 21 1988  
S H D

\* Summer Student - May/August 1988



DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD, RALSTON, ALBERTA

Canada

WARNING

"The use of this information is permitted subject to  
recognition of proprietary and patent rights".

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

88 11 21 044

UNCLASSIFIED

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD  
RALSTON, ALBERTA

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM NO. 1257

SNAKE VENOM COMPONENTS AND THEIR CROSS REACTIVITY:  
A SHORT REVIEW

by

Bradley J. Berger\* and Abdul R. Bhatti

DRDHP-11

**WARNING**

"The use of this information is permitted subject to  
recognition of proprietary and patent rights".

\* Summer Student - May/August 1988

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Anne Dickason and John Currie of the DRES library for their indispensable help in acquiring the reference materials.



UNCLASSIFIED

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

UNCLASSIFIED

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD  
RALSTON, ALBERTA

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM NO. 1257

Snake Venom Components and Their Cross Reactivity:  
A Short Review

by

Bradley J. Berger and Abdul R. Bhatti

SUMMARY

Snake venoms are complex mixtures of organic and inorganic compounds, many of which exhibit biological activity. It has been demonstrated that antisera raised against a single purified venom protein from one species of snake will react with proteins in the venom of other species. This cross-reactivity between species may have evolutionary applications, but recent studies on the variability of venom components within a species make these evolutionary conclusions questionable.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DEFENCE RESEARCH ESTABLISHMENT SUFFIELD  
RALSTON, ALBERTA

SUFFIELD MEMORANDUM NO. 1257

SNAKE VENOM COMPONENTS AND THEIR CROSS REACTIVITY:  
A SHORT REVIEW

by

Bradley J. Berger and Abdul R. Bhatti

INTRODUCTION

Venomous snakes belong to three families within the suborder Serpentes: the Uiperidae (old world and pit vipers), the Elapidae (a large and varied group which includes cobras, coral snakes, and sea snakes), and the Colubridae (an artificial group containing at least 80 venomous genera) (59). An alternate classification is to place the sea snakes into their own family, the Hydrophiidae. Research into the contents of snake venom has its roots in the mid-nineteenth century when Lucien Bonaparte precipitated a toxic powder out of *Vipera berus* venom (see Table 1 for common names of snakes) and S.W. Mitchell precipitated toxin out of *Crotalus* venom (cited in 5). Since that time the work on defining the composition of snake venom has continued, with the majority of the studies involving four subfamilies: Viperinae (old world vipers), Crotolinae (pit vipers), Elapinae (cobras and coral snakes), and Hydrophiini (sea snakes) (59). Recent advances in purifying and characterizing snake venom toxins have made it clear that there is a potential for their use as biological warfare agents.

UNCLASSIFIED

The toxic components, especially the neurotoxins, are extremely potent and quite stable, and, with present day recombinant DNA technology, it is theoretically possible to produce these factors in large quantities (58).

As early as 1872, it was observed that there were distinctive differences among the venoms isolated from different species of snake, and by 1902 experiments were being performed to identify antigenic similarities between the venoms of various species (cited in 40). Since that time, there have been many studies on the identification of both the unique and conservative components present in snake venoms. The principal aim of this communication is to review the recent advances in defining the cross-reactivity of snake venom components, with a short discussion of evolutionary implications.

#### The Components of Snake Venom

As evidenced by many authors, snake venoms are complex mixtures of organic and inorganic compounds (4, 7, 14, 45). While not as well studied, the non-protein fraction of snake venom has been characterized and reviewed by Bieber (3). In general, snake venoms were found to contain sodium, potassium, phosphorus and chloride, as well as trace amounts of calcium, zinc, magnesium, copper and manganese (10, 15, 19). As well, riboflavin, nucleosides (especially guanosine), peptides and amides (including serotonin and bufotonin) have been detected in some snake venoms (13, 24, 51, 61). While carbohydrates and lipids (such as the procoagulant glycoprotein in *Vipera russelli*) have been detected in snake venom, little has been published on this aspect (57).

Unlike the non-protein components, proteins in snake venom have

been extensively studied, and intensive research into their structure and function continues. In fact, due to the volume of research into this area, it is beyond the scope of this paper to review more than a few examples of relevant proteins in snake venoms. In general, snake venom proteins are divided into three groups: nerve growth factors, enzymes, and toxins. Nerve growth factors are agents which cause differentiation of sympathetic or sensory neurons (32) and, as of 1979, had been discovered in at least twenty different venomous snakes (23).

### Enzymes

The enzyme components of snake venoms are responsible for much of the visible physiological damage, such as tissue necrosis, coagulant or anticoagulant activity, and pain (36, 53). Among the most important enzymes found in snake venoms are phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> (PLA<sub>2</sub>), L-amino acid oxidase, and phosphodiesterase.

PLA<sub>2</sub> is a protein of approximately 14000 molecular weight, which hydrolyzes phosphatidylcholine to lysophosphatidylcholine and a fatty acid (22). This activity causes the destruction of cell membranes, leading to hemolysis. In the last decade, PLA<sub>2</sub> has been purified from many snakes, including *Crotalus adamanteus* and *Bothrops atrox* (16), and it has been purified and sequenced from *Bitis gabonica* (6) and from *Naja melanoleuca* (26). More recently, PLA<sub>2</sub> has been purified from *Trimeresurus gramineus*, *Angkistrodon contortix contortix*, *T. flavoviridis*, *Bothrops asper*, *Pseudoechis australis*, and *Enhydrina schistosa* (20, 33, 42, 43, 54 56).

L-amino acid oxidase is detected in venomous snakes, except sea snakes, and is a protein of approximately 135,000 molecular weight that

contains FAD (flavin adenosine dinucleotide) as a cofactor and about five percent carbohydrate (25). Studies have shown that this enzyme exists as three isozymes of equal specific activity (50). Phosphodiesterase, which hydrolyzes the phosphodiester bonds of polynucleotide chains at the 3' end, is found in snakes from all venomous families (37). The enzyme is approximately 110,000 molecular weight and has been recently purified and characterized from *Cerastes cerastes* venom (21). As well as the three major enzymes mentioned, there are at least 23 other types of enzyme in snake venom (according to Russell (48). Among these are proteinases, ATPases, coagulants, arginine esterase and anti-coagulants (1, 29, 31, 44, 62).

### Toxins

Toxins are proteins found in snake venom which cause disruption of vital functions, such as nerve transmission and autonomic activities (27). The distinction between enzymes and toxins lies in the fact that many toxins have no enzymatic activity (such as short chain neurotoxins), and many enzymes do not have lethal activity (though they may cause physiological damage). However, this division is somewhat arbitrary as crotoxin, for example, has both neurotoxic and PLA<sub>2</sub> activity (14). The main toxins in snake venom are grouped into four types: neurotoxins (which block the transmission of nerve impulses), myonecrotic toxins (which degrade muscle tissue), membrane toxins (which disrupt cellular membranes), and "other" toxins (those whose actions have not yet been characterized, or which do not fit in the other categories) (27). There has been a great deal of work on the purification and characterization of toxins from the venom of diverse snakes, such as neurotoxins from *Trimeresurus mucosquamatus*, *Enhydrina schistosa*, *Acanthophis antarcticus*, and *Naja* ssp, myonecrotic toxin from *Bungarus fasciatus*, and "other" toxins in



*Atractaspis* spp, and *Agkistrodon piscivorus* (7, 17, 28, 30, 35, 46, 52).

#### Antigenic Similarity of Proteins from Different Snake Venoms

Given that venoms from unrelated snakes often contain many common enzymes, it is not surprising that there can be antigenic cross-reactivity (ie: where antisera generated against one snake venom partially neutralizes venom from another species of snake). For example, PLA<sub>2</sub> activity is detected in virtually all snake venoms and has been purified and sequenced from many (11, 25). A comparison of the sequences of PLA<sub>2</sub> from 29 different snakes shows that all Elapids have a PLA<sub>2</sub> with the same overall configuration, but Asian Elapids and Australasian Elapids have a slightly different configuration around the active site (11). Thus, there should be a great deal of antigenic similarity between the PLA<sub>2</sub>'s of Elapid snakes, especially within a particular geographic subgroup.

More importantly, many researchers have raised antibodies against specific toxins from a single species of snake and detected cross-reacting proteins in other species. Lomonte *et al.* (34), using antisera against *Bothrops asper* myotoxin, detected a cross-reacting protein in *B. nummifer*, *B. schlegeli*, *B. godmani*, *B. picadoi*, and *Agkistrodon bilineatus*. The detected proteins had the same molecular weight (16,000) in all species except *B. picadoi*, which had a molecular weight of 24,000, but the isoelectric point was quite variable from species to species. Therefore the cross-reactive component was similar, but not identical to the original myotoxin. Monoclonal antibodies raised by Arumae *et al.* (2) against the *Vipera lebetina* nerve growth factor (molecular weight of 32,500) cross-reacted with the factors from

*Vipera ursini* (molecular weight of 37,000), *V. berus berus*, *Echis carinatus* (molecular weights of 36,000 and 44,000, respectively), *Bungarus caeruleus*, *Agkistrodon halys*, *Naja naja oxiana*, *Naja naja atra*, *Naja naja*, and the mouse salivary gland nerve growth factor. Cross-reactivity over such a wide range of species would suggest that the antigenic site on the nerve growth factor must be quite conservative.

Antibodies against a toxin from *Crotalus scutulatus scutulatus* (molecular weight of 20,000-22,000) strongly cross-reacted with a similar protein from *C. durissus*, *C. viridis concolor*, and *C. scutulatus* samples; and weakly with *C. atrox* and *Trimeresurus flavoviridis* (60). Antibodies against crotoxin from *Crotalus durissus terrificus* also reacted with *C. horridus atricaudatus* and *C. basiliscus*, suggesting that these latter two species have a similar toxin (18). Rael *et al.* (47) generated monoclonal antibodies against Mojave toxin from *Crotalus scutulatus scutulatus*, and found that there was cross-reactivity with other proteins, such as those in the venom from *C. basiliscus*, *C. durissus durissus*, *C. d. terrificus*, *C. horridus horridus*, and *C. viridis concolor*.

#### Evolutionary Implications

The most popular application of the results obtained from serological cross-reactivity studies is snake phylogeny and evolution. It is well known that the evolutionary relationship of venomous snakes is not clearly characterized (12, 39, 59). There have been many attempts at determining snake phylogeny on the basis of the sequence changes

found in conserved proteins, such as PLA<sub>2</sub>, neurotoxins and cytotoxins (2, 8, 12). In this type of analysis, the number of sequence changes is directly related to the evolutionary distance between the species studied. However, since it has been pointed out that different phylogenies arise when different proteins are the basis for sequence analysis (55), researchers are starting to draw evolutionary conclusions from electrophoretic and immunological studies. Mengden *et al.* (39) determined the phylogenetic relationship of *Pseudoechis* species using electrophoretic fractionation patterns of proteins. Weinstein *et al.* (60), and Detrait and Girons (9) have used immunological cross-reactivity as a basis for evolutionary speculation. Since snakes that are closely related have similar components in their venom, it is assumed that the degree of cross-reactivity directly correlates to the evolutionary distance between the snakes studied.

However, there have been recent studies which show that these types of evolutionary conclusions are not valid. Schaeffer (49) has found that individual lots of commercial venom (from which almost all immunological and biochemical studies are performed) are unique in their composition, even though obtained from the same species (either *Echis carinatus* or *Echis coloratus*). It has been found that the composition of *Bothrops atrox* venom varies from individual to individual on the basis of age, and that *Crotalus atrox* venom composition can vary from one geographical area to another (38, 41). These results seem to indicate that the variability in protein composition makes it difficult to draw strong evolutionary conclusions from the biochemical and immunological analyses of venom components. As well, the fact that antibodies against the nerve growth factor from *Vipera lebentina* cross-reacted with the nerve growth factor from mouse salivary gland tends to show how little antigenic similarity may relate to phylogeny in some cases. This

UNCLASSIFIED

/8

variability means that a protein containing a cross-reactive epitope may not always be detected in a given snake, and, thus, the epitope's presence or absence cannot be used as an indication of the evolutionary distance between species of snakes.

UNCLASSIFIED

References

1. Aragon-Oritz, F. and Gubensek, F. Characterization of a metallo-proteinase from *Bothrops asper* (Terciopelo) snake venom. *Toxicon* 25 759-766, 1987.
2. Arumae, U., Siigur, J., Neuman, T., and Saarma, M. Monoclonal antibodies against *Vipera lebetina* nerve growth factor cross-react with their snake nerve growth factors. *Mol. Immunol.* 24 1295-1302, 1987.
3. Bieber, A.L. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 52: Snake Venoms, C.Y. Lee, ed., pp. 295-308, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
4. Boquet, P., Poilleux, G., Dumarey, C., Izard, Y., Ronsseray, A.M. An attempt to classify the toxic proteins of Elapidae and Hydrophiidae venoms. *Toxicon* 11 333-340, 1973.
5. Boquet, P. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 52: Snake Venoms, C.Y. Lee, ed., pp. 3-14, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
6. Botes, D.P. and Viljoen, C.C. *Bitis gabonica* venom: The amino acid sequence of phospholipase A. *J. Biol. Chem.* 249 3827-3835, 1974.
7. Bougis, P.E., Marchot, P. and Rochat H. Characterization of Elapidae snake venom components using optimized reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic conditions and screening assays for neurotoxin and phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> activities. *Biochem* 25 7235-7243, 1986.

8. Breckenridge, R. and Dufton, M.J. The structural evolution of cobra venom cytotoxins. *J. Mol. Evol.* 26 274-283, 1987.
9. Detrait, J. and Giron, H.S., (1979), Communautés antigeniques des venins et systématique des Viperidae. *Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde* 1 71-80, 1987.
10. Devi, A. Venomous Animals and Their Venoms, Vol. 1, W. Bucherl, E.E. Buckley, V. Deulofeu, eds., pp. 119-165, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
11. Dufton, M.J. and Hider, R.C. Classification of phospholipases A<sub>2</sub> according to sequence: Evolutionary and pharmacological implications. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 137 545- 551, 1983.
12. Dufton, M.J. Classification of Elapid snake neurotoxins and cytotoxins according to chain length: Evolutionary implications. *J. Mol. Evol.* 20 128-134, 1984.
13. Eterovic, V.A., Hebert, M.S., Hanley M.R. and Bennett, E.L. The lethality and spectroscopic properties of toxins from *Bungarus multicinctus* (Blyth) venom. *Toxicon* 13 37-48, 1975.
14. Facklam, T.J. Review of the chemical, biological, and toxicological properties of selected toxins and venoms. pp. 37-87, Chemical Systems Laboratory, Maryland, 1983.
15. Friedrich, C. and Tu, A.T. Role of metals in snake venoms for hemorrhagic, esterase, and proteolytic activities. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 20 1549-1556, 1971.

16. Frischauf, A.M. and Eckstein, F. Phosphodiesterase from snake venom. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 32 479-483, 1973.
17. Gawade, S.P. and Gaitonde, B.B. Isolation and characterization of toxic components from the venom of the common Indian sea snake (*Enhydrina schistosa*). *Toxicon* 120 797-801, 1982.
18. Gopalakrishnakone, P., Hawgood, B.J. and Theakston, R.D.G. Specificity of antibodies to the reconstructed crotoxin complex, from the venom of South American rattlesnake (*Crotalus durissus terrificus*), using enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) and double immunodiffusion. *Toxicon* 19, 131-139, 1981.
19. Grasset, E., Brechbuhler, T., Schwarz, D.E. and Pongraz, E. Venoms. E.E. Buckley, N. Porges, eds., pp. 153-169, American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, D.C., 1956.
20. Gutierrez, J.M., Lomonte, B., Chaves, F., Moreno, E., and Cerdas, L. Pharmacological activities of a toxic phospholipase A isolated from the venom of the snake. *Bothrops asper*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 84C 159-164, 1986.
21. Halim, H.Y., Shaban, E.A., Hagag, M.M., Daoud, E.W., and El-Asmar, M.F. Purification and characterization of phosphodiesterase (exonuclease) from *Cerastes cerastes* (Egyptian sand viper) venom. *Toxicon* 25 1199-1207, 1987.
22. Hanahan, D.J. The enzymatic degradation of phosphatidyl choline in diethyl ether. *J. Biol. Chem.* 195 199-206, 1952.

23. Hogue-Angeletti, R.A. and Bradshaw, R.A. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 52: Snake Venoms, C.Y. Lee, ed., pp. 276-294, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
24. Inamasu, Y., Nakano, K., Kobayashi, M. and Sameshima, Y. Nature of the prosthetic group of the L-amino acid oxidase from habu snake (*Trimeresurus flavoviridis*). II. *Acta Med. Univ. Kagoshima* 16 145-154, 1974.
25. Iwanaga, S. and Suzuki, T. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 52: Snake Venoms, C.Y. Lee, ed., pp. 61-158, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
26. Joubert, F.J. *Naja melanoleuca* (Forest Cobra) venom. The amino acid sequence of phospholipase A, fraction DE-III. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 379 329-359, 1975.
27. Karlsson, E. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 52: Snake Venoms, C.Y. Lee, ed., pp. 159-212, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
28. Kim, H.S. and Tamiya, N. Isolation, properties and amino acid sequence of a long chain neurotoxin, Acanthis antarcticus b, from the venom of an Australian snake (the common death adder, *Acanthis antarcticus*) *Biochem J.* 193 899-906, 1981.
29. Kihl, R.M. and Gowda, T.V. Studies on snake venom enzymes: Part I-Purification of ATPase, a toxic component of *Naja naja* venom and its inhibition by potassium gymemate. *Indian J. Biochem. Biophys.* 19 152-154, 1982.



30. Kochva, E., Viljoen, C.C. and Botes D.P. A new type of toxin in the venom of snakes of the genus *Atractaspis* (Atractaspidinae). *Toxicon* 20 581-592, 1982.
31. Komori, Y., Nikai, T., Sakairi, Y. and Sugihara H. Characterization of the clotting factors from *Agkistrodon acutus* venom. *Int. J. Biochem.* 20 387-392, 1988.
32. Levi-Montalcini, R. and Angeletti, P.U. Nerve growth factor. *Physiol. Rev.* 48 534-569, 1968.
33. Lind, P. and Eaker, D. Amino acid sequence of a lethal myotoxic phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> from the venom of the common sea snake (*Enhydrina schistosa*). *Toxicon* 19 11-24, 1981.
34. Lomonte, B., Moreno E. and Gutierrez, J.M. Detection of proteins antigenically related to *Bothrops asper* myotoxin in Crotaline snake venoms. *Toxicon* 25 947-955, 1987.
35. Lu, H-S. and Lo, T-B. Complete amino acid sequences of two cardiotoxin-like analogues from *Bungarus fasciatus* (banded krait) snake venom. *Toxicon* 19 103-111, 1981.
36. Meaume, J. Les venins des serpents agents modificateurs de la coagulation sanguine. *Toxicon* 4 25-58, 1966.
37. Mebs, D. A comparative study of enzyme activities in snake venoms. *Intl. J. Biochem.* 1 335-342, 1970.
38. Meier, J. Individual and age-dependent variations in the venom of the Fer-de-Lance (*Bothrops atrox*). *Toxicon* 24 41-46, 1986.

39. Mengdon, G.A., Shine, R. and Moritz, C. Phylogenetic relationships within the Australasian venomous snakes of the genus *Pseudechis*. *Herpetologia* 42 215-229, 1986.
40. Minton, S.A. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 52: Snake Venoms, C.Y. Lee, ed., pp. 847-862, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
41. Minton, S.A. and Weinstein, S.A. Geographic and ontogenic variation in venom of the Western Diamondback Rattlesnake (*Crotalus atrox*). *Toxicon* 24 71-80, 1986.
42. Nishida, S., Terashima, M. and Tamiya, N. Amino acid sequences of phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> from the venom of an Australian Elapid snake (King Brown snake, *Pseudechis australis*). *Toxicon* 23 87-104, 1985.
43. Oda, N., Sakai, H., Shieh, T-C, Nakamura, H., Sakamoto, S., Kihara, H., Chang, C-C. and Ohno, M. Purification and characterization of phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> from *Trimeresurus gramineus* venom. *J. Biochem.* 102 1441-1449, 1987.
44. Ouyang, C. and Huang, T-F. Platelet aggregation inhibitors from *Agkistrodon acutus* snake venom. *Toxicon* 24 1099-1106, 1986.
45. Ownby, C.L. and Colberg, T.R. Characterization of the biological and immunological properties of fractions of Prairie Rattlesnake (*Crotalus viridis viridis*) venom. *Toxicon* 25 1329-1342, 1987.

46. Powlick, G.D. and Geren C.R. Fractionation and partial characterization of toxic components of *Agkistrodon p. piscivorus* (Eastern Cottonmouth Moccasin) Venom. *Toxicon* 19 867-874, 1981.
47. Rael, E.D., Salo, R.J. and Zepeda, H. Monoclonal antibodies to Mojave toxin and use for isolation of cross-reacting proteins in *Crotalus* venoms. *Toxicon* 24 661-668, 1986.
48. Russell, F.E. Snake Venoms. *Symp. Zool. Soc. Lond.* 52 469-480, 1984.
49. Schaeffer, R.C. Heterogeneity of *Echis* venoms from different sources. *Toxicon* 25 1343-1346, 1987.
50. Shaham, N and Bdolah, A. L-amino acid oxidase from *Vipera palastinae* venom: Purification and assay. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* B46 691-698, 1973.
51. Shipolini, R., Ivanov, C.P., Dimitrov, G. and Aleksiev, B.V. Composition of the low molecular weight fraction of Bulgarian viper venom. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 104 292-295, 1965.
52. Sugihara H., Moriura, M. and Nikai, T. Purification and properties of a lethal hemorrhagic protein, "mucrotoxin A," from the venom of the Chinese Habu Snake (*Trimeresurus mucrosquamatus*) *Toxicon* 21 247-255, 1983.
53. Suzuki, T. and Iwanaga, S. Handbook fo Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 24: Bradykinin, Kallidin, and Kallikrein, E.G. Erdos, ed., pp. 193-212, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.

54. Takagi, J., Sekiya, F., Kasahara, K., Inada, Y., and Saito, Y. Venom from Southern Copperhead Snake *Agkistrodon contortix contortix*) II. A unique phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> that induces platelet aggregation. *Toxicon* 26 199-206, 1988.
55. Tamiya, N. and Yagi T. Non-divergence theory of evolution: Sequence comparison of some proteins from snakes and bacteria. *J. Biochem.* 98 289-303, 1985.
56. Tanaka, S., Mohri, N. Kihara H., and Ohno, M. Amino acid sequence of *Trimeresurus flavoviridis* phospholipase A<sub>2</sub>, *J. Biochem.* 99 281-289, 1986.
57. Teng, C-M, Chen, Y-H and Ouyang, C. Purification and properties of the main coagulant and anticoagulant principles of *Vipera russelli* snake venom. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 786 204-212, 1984.
58. Tu, A.T. Snake neuro-and necrotic-toxins: Potential new agents. *Nuclear, Biological and Chemical Defense Technology Intl.* 1 62-66, 1986.
59. Underwood, G. Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, Vol. 52: Snake Venoms, C.Y. Lee, ed., pp. 15-60, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
60. Weinstein, S.A., Minton, S.A., Wilde, C.E. The distribution amongst Ophidian venoms of a toxin isolated from the venom of the Mojave Rattlesnake (*Crotalus scutulatus scutulatus*). *Toxicon* 23 825-844, 1985.

61. Welsh, J.H. Serotonin and related tryptamine derivatives in snake venoms. *Mem. Inst. Buntantan.* 33 509-518, 1967.
62. White, T.M. and Cate, R.L. Purification of three arginine esterases from the venom of the Western Diamondback Rattlesnake (*Crotalus atrox*). *Toxicon* 20 1001 1010.

UNCLASSIFIED

TABLE 1: COMMON NAMES OF RELEVANT SNAKES

SUBFAMILY	SNAKE	COMMON NAME
Viperinae	<i>Vipera berus</i>	European Viper
	<i>Vipera russelli</i>	Russell's Viper
	<i>Bitis gabonica</i>	Gaboon Viper
	<i>Cerastes cerastes</i>	Mojave Desert Sidewinder
	<i>Atractaspis spp.</i>	Burrowing Vipers
	<i>Vipera lebetina</i>	Leventine Viper
	<i>Vipera ursini</i>	Meadow Adder
	<i>Echis carinatus</i>	Saw-scaled Viper
	<i>Echis coloratus</i>	Carpet Viper
Crotolinae	<i>Crotalus adamanteus</i>	Eastern Diamondback Rattlesnake
	<i>Bothrops atrox</i>	Fer-de-Lance
	<i>Trimeresurus gramineus</i>	Indian Green Tree Viper
	<i>Agkistrodon contortix</i>	Southern Copperhead
	<i>contortix</i>	
	<i>Trimeresurus flavoviridis</i>	Okinawa Habu
	<i>Bothrops asper</i>	Barba Amarilla
	<i>Trimeresurus mucosquamatus</i>	Taiwan Habu
	<i>Agkistrodon piscivorus</i>	Eastern Cottonmouth
	<i>Bothrops nummifer</i>	Jumping Pit Viper
	<i>Bothrops schlegeli</i>	Schlegel's Palm Viper
	<i>Bothrops godmani</i>	Godman's Pit Viper
	<i>Bothrops picadoi</i>	Pit Viper
	<i>Agkistrodon bilineatus</i>	Mexican Moccasin
	<i>Agkistrodon halys</i>	Pit Viper
	<i>Crotalus scutulatus</i>	Mojave Diamondback Rattlesnake
	<i>scutulatus</i>	
	<i>Crotalus durissus</i>	Central American Rattlesnake
	<i>durissus</i>	
	<i>Crotalus atrox</i>	Western Diamondback Rattlesnake
	<i>Crotalus durissus</i>	South American Rattlesnake
	<i>terrificus</i>	
	<i>Crotalus horridus</i>	Cranebrake Rattler
	<i>atricaudatus</i>	
	<i>Crotalus basiliscus</i>	Mexican West-Coast Rattlesnake
	<i>Crotalus horridus</i>	Timber Rattler
	<i>horridus</i>	
	<i>Crotalus viridis</i>	Prairie Rattlesnake
	<i>concolor</i>	
Elapinae	<i>Naja melanoleuca</i>	Black-Lipped Cobra
	<i>Pseudechis australis</i>	King Brown Snake
	<i>Acanthopsis antarcticus</i>	Death Adder
	<i>Bungarus caeruleus</i>	Common Indian Krait
	<i>Naja najua oxiana</i>	Ochkovayazmeya
	<i>Naja naja atra</i>	Taiwan Cobra
Hydrophiini	<i>Naja naja</i>	Indian Cobra
	<i>Enhydrina schistosa</i>	Common Sea Snake

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF FORM  
(highest classification of Title, Abstract, Keywords)

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall document is classified)

1. ORIGINATOR (the name and address of the organization preparing the document. Organizations for whom the document was prepared, e.g. Establishment sponsoring a contractor's report, or tasking agency, are entered in section 8.)		2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (overall security classification of the document including special warning terms if applicable)	
Defence Research Establishment Suffield		UNCLASSIFIED	
3. TITLE (the complete document title as indicated on the title page. Its classification should be indicated by the appropriate abbreviation (S,C,R or U) in parentheses after the title.)			
Snake Venom Components and Their Cross Reactivity: A Short Review			
4. AUTHORS (Last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank, e.g. Doe, Maj. John E.)			
Bradley J. Berger and A. Rashid Bhatti			
5. DATE OF PUBLICATION (month and year of publication of document)	6a. NO. OF PAGES (total containing information. Include Annexes, Appendices, etc.)	6b. NO. OF REFS (total cited in document)	
October 1988			
6. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (the category of the document, e.g. technical report, technical note or memorandum, if appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g. interim, progress, summary, annual or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.)			
8. SPONSORING ACTIVITY (the name of the department project office or laboratory sponsoring the research and development. Include the address.)			
9a. PROJECT OR GRANT NO. (if appropriate, the applicable research and development project or grant number under which the document was written. Please specify whether project or grant)		9b. CONTRACT NO. (if appropriate, the applicable number under which the document was written)	
351SG			
10a. ORIGINATOR'S DOCUMENT NUMBER (the official document number by which the document is identified by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this document.)		10b. OTHER DOCUMENT NOS. (Any other numbers which may be assigned this document either by the originator or by the sponsor)	
11. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY (any limitations on further dissemination of the document, other than those imposed by security classification)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Unlimited distribution			
<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to defence departments and defence contractors; further distribution only as approved			
<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to defence departments and Canadian defence contractors; further distribution only as approved			
<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to government departments and agencies; further distribution only as approved			
<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution limited to defence departments; further distribution only as approved			
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify):			
12. DOCUMENT ANNOUNCEMENT (any limitation to the bibliographic announcement of this document. This will normally correspond to the Document Availability (11). However, where further distribution (beyond the audience specified in 11) is possible, a wider announcement audience may be selected.)			

13. ABSTRACT ( a brief and factual summary of the document. It may also appear elsewhere in the body of the document itself. It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified documents be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall begin with an indication of the security classification of the information in the paragraph (unless the document itself is unclassified) represented as (S), (C), (R), or (U). It is not necessary to include here abstracts in both official languages unless the text is bilingual).

Snake venoms are complex mixtures of organic and inorganic compounds, many of which exhibit biological activity. It has been demonstrated that antisera raised against a single purified venom protein from one species of snake will react with proteins in the venom of other species. This cross-reactivity between species may have evolutionary applications, but recent studies on the variability of venom components within a species makes these evolutionary conclusions questionable.

14. KEYWORDS, DESCRIPTORS or IDENTIFIERS (technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a document and could be helpful in cataloguing the document. They should be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location may also be included. If possible keywords should be selected from a published thesaurus. e.g. Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms (TEST) and that thesaurus-identified. If it is not possible to select indexing terms which are Unclassified, the classification of each should be indicated as with the title.)

Key words: snake venoms, enzymes, toxins, evolution